1 The Honorable Barbara J. Rothstein 2 3 4 5 6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 7 8 KING COUNTY, ON BEHALF OF ITSELF AND) No. 2:21-cv-00221 9 SIMILARLY SITUATED COUNTIES AND CITIES. 10 Plaintiff, ORDER ON STIPULATED MOTION FOR 11 STAY OF PROCEEDINGS v. 12 PENDING RESOLUTION OF MOTION TO TRANSFER 13 MCKINSEY & COMPANY, INC., UNITED **PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1407** STATES and MCKINSEY & COMPANY, INC., AND FOR EXTENSION OF TIME 14 TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT 15 **Defendants** 16 17 **ORDER** 18 The Court, having examined and considered the Parties' Stipulated Motion for Stay of 19 Proceedings Pending Resolution of Motion to Transfer Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407 and For 20 Extension of Time to Respond to Complaint, and finding that there is good cause, 21 **HEREBY ORDERS AND ADJUDGES THAT** this matter is stayed in its entirety 22 pending a ruling by the JPML on McKinsey's Motion to Transfer Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. 23 The time within which McKinsey shall respond to the Complaint is extended to 30 days after the 24 JPML rules on McKinsey's § 1407 Motion, and an answer or other response shall only be 25 required if: (a) the JPML denies McKinsey's § 1407 Motion; (b) the JPML grants McKinsey's 26

Case 2:21-cv-00221-BJR Document 10 Filed 03/16/21 Page 2 of 2

§ 1407 Motion and such a response is required by the transferee court; or (c) the JPML court issues a ruling requiring a response to the Complaint in this District Court. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated this 16th day of March 2021. Barbara Jacobs Rothstein U.S. District Court Judge